

TIME-VARYING VERSION OF THE LEMMA OF LYAPUNOV

The lemma of Lyapunov gives the necessary and sufficient condition for the stability of a time-invariant system in terms of the existence of a positive-definite symmetric matrix. In this letter, the lemma is generalised for time-varying systems, in which it is shown that additional conditions are required for the lemma to hold. The conditions involve boundedness of certain quantities and uniform complete observability of a pair of matrixes.

This letter generalises, for time-varying systems, the well known lemma of Lyapunov, which provides a necessary and sufficient condition for the stability of the system

$$\dot{x} = Fx \quad \dots \quad (1)$$

the matrix F being constant. This lemma, in the modified form of Kalman,¹ is as follows:

Lemma: Consider the system of eqn. 1, and let L be a matrix such that $[F, L]$ is completely observable.² Then F is asymptotically stable (i.e. all the eigenvalues of F have negative real parts) if, and only if, there exists a positive-definite symmetric matrix P such that

$$PF + F'P = -L'L \quad \dots \quad (2)$$

Moreover, if F is stable, P is given by

$$P = \int_0^\infty e^{F't} L' L e^{Ft} dt \quad \dots \quad (3)$$

The quadratic expression

$$V(x) = x'(t) P x(t) \quad \dots \quad (4)$$

is a Lyapunov function for the system of eqn. 1, and, although V is not negative-definite in general, being given by

$$\dot{V} = -x' L' L x \quad \dots \quad (5)$$

the complete observability of $[F, L]$ is sufficient to ensure that along any trajectory of the system of eqn. 1, V is strictly decreasing in any nonzero interval.

The generalisation of the lemma to time-varying systems, which permits singular L matrixes to be considered (in contrast to Reference 3, in which L is nonsingular), involves the use of the concept of uniform complete observability.⁴

Denoting the transition matrix associated with the system of eqn. 1 by $\Phi(t, \tau)$, where F is now permitted to be time varying, and using the notation $X > Y [X \geq Y]$ to mean that $X - Y$ is positive-(semi)definite, the pair $[F, L]$ is said to be uniformly completely observable if two of the following three conditions hold:

(a) $\|\Phi(t, \tau)\| \leq \alpha_1(|t - \tau|) \quad \dots \quad (6)$

with

$$M(t, t + \sigma) = \int_t^{t+\sigma} \Phi'(\lambda, t) L'(\lambda) L(\lambda) \Phi(\lambda, t) d\lambda \quad \dots \quad (7)$$

(b) $0 < \alpha_2(\sigma) I \leq M(t, t + \sigma) \leq \alpha_3(\sigma) I < \infty$ for some σ $\dots \dots \dots$ (8)

(c) $0 < \alpha_4(\sigma) I \leq \Phi'(t, t + \sigma) M(t, t + \sigma) \Phi(t, t + \sigma) \leq \alpha_5(\sigma) I < \infty$ for some σ $\dots \dots \dots$ (9)

If any two of (a), (b) and (c) hold, the third is implied.⁴

The checking of uniform complete observability, given F and L , will, in general, not be straightforward. However, if F and L have certain particular structures, uniform complete observability obtains, and expressions 6, 8 and 9 need not be checked.

In the sequel, F will be restricted to being bounded, i.e.

$$\|F\| \leq K_1 \quad \text{for all } t \quad \dots \quad (10)$$

for some positive constant K_1 . This is a well known condition guaranteeing expression 6. A second consequence of expression 10 is that if the system of eqn. 1 is asymptotically stable, in the sense that

$$\Phi(t, t_0) x_0 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty \quad \dots \quad (11)$$

for all x_0, t_0 , eqn. 1 is exponentially asymptotically stable⁵ for all t, τ with $t \geq \tau$:

$$\|\Phi(t, \tau)\| \leq K_2 \exp -K_3(t - \tau) \quad \dots \quad (12)$$

for some positive constants K_2, K_3 . See Reference 3 for proof. The main result can now be stated.

Theorem: Consider the system of eqn. 1, and let L be a matrix such that $[F, L]$ is uniformly completely observable. Suppose further that F and L are bounded. It follows that:

(i) If F is asymptotically stable, there exists a matrix P defined by

$$P(t) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \Pi(t, T) \quad \dots \quad (13)$$

where $\Pi(t, T)$ in turn is defined by

$$-\dot{\Pi} = \Pi F + F' \Pi + L' L \quad \dots \quad (14a)$$

with boundary condition

$$\Pi(T, T) = 0 \quad \dots \quad (14b)$$

Moreover,

$$V(x, t) = x(t) P(t) x(t) \quad \dots \quad (15)$$

is a Lyapunov function for eqn. 1, and finally P is given by

$$P(t) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^T \Phi'(\lambda, t) L'(\lambda) L(\lambda) \Phi(\lambda, t) d\lambda \quad \dots \quad (16)$$

(ii) If there exists a matrix P and positive constants β_1 and β_2 such that, for all t ,

$$0 < \beta_1 I \leq P(t) \leq \beta_2 I < \infty \quad \dots \quad (17)$$

and such that

$$-\dot{P} = PF + F'P + L' L \quad \dots \quad (18)$$

F is asymptotically stable and $V = x' P x$ is a Lyapunov function.

Proof of (i): Eqn. 14a, with the boundary condition (eqn. 14b), possesses a solution which can readily be verified to be

$$\Pi(t, T) = \int_t^T \Phi'(\lambda, t) L'(\lambda) L(\lambda) \Phi(\lambda, t) d\lambda \quad \dots \quad (19)$$

Since F is asymptotically stable, expression 12 holds. This

and the boundedness of L thus imply the existence of both the limit

$$P(t) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \Pi(t, T) \quad \dots \quad (13)$$

and eqn. 16, together with the inequality

$$P(t) \leq \beta_2 I < \infty \quad \dots \quad (20)$$

This is a necessary requirement for V to be a Lyapunov function (Reference 3). The requirement

$$P(t) \geq \beta_1 I > 0 \quad \dots \quad (21)$$

follows from eqn. 8 and the observation that

$$\int_t^{t+\sigma} \Phi'(\lambda, t) L'(\lambda) L(\lambda) \Phi(\lambda, t) d\lambda \leq \int_t^{\infty} \Phi'(\lambda, t) L'(\lambda) L(\lambda) \Phi(\lambda, t) d\lambda \quad (22)$$

because the integrands are nonnegative-definite matrixes. With V as in eqn. 15 and P as in eqn. 16, \dot{V} may be readily evaluated as

$$\dot{V} = -x' L' L x \quad \dots \quad (23)$$

which is plainly nonpositive. This proves (i).

Proof of (ii): Eqn. 17 guarantees that V , as distinct from \dot{V} , satisfies the necessary requirements for it to be a Lyapunov function. Eqn. 18 also leads to

$$\dot{V} = -x' L' L x \quad \dots \quad (23)$$

which certainly establishes the stability, as distinct from asymptotic stability, of F . To establish asymptotic stability, compute the change in V along a length σ of trajectory. Thus

$$\Delta V \Big|_t^{t+\sigma} = \int_t^{t+\sigma} \dot{V} dt = -x'(t) \int_t^{t+\sigma} \phi'(\lambda, t) L'(\lambda) L(\lambda) \Phi(\lambda, t) d\lambda x(t) \quad (24)$$

Using the uniform complete observability condition b , this implies

$$\Delta V \Big|_t^{t+\sigma} < -\alpha_2(\sigma) x'(t) x(t) \quad \dots \quad (25)$$

where $\alpha_2(\sigma)$ is positive. Simple extensions of standard arguments then establish the asymptotic stability of F .

B. D. ANDERSON
J. B. MOORE

5th June 1967

*Department of Electrical Engineering
The University of Newcastle
Newcastle, NSW, Australia*

References

- 1 KALMAN, R. E.: 'Lyapunov functions for the problem of Lur'e in automatic control', *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, 1963, **49**, (2), pp. 201-205
- 2 ZADEH, L. A., and DESOER, C. A.: 'Linear system theory' (McGraw-Hill, 1963)
- 3 KALMAN, R. E., and BERTRAM, J. E.: 'Control system analysis and design by the second method of Lyapunov', *Trans. ASME*, 1960, **82D**, (2), pp. 371-400
- 4 KALMAN, R. E.: 'Contributions to the theory of optimal control', *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex.*, 1960, pp. 102-119